

SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 3 Profile

| 1. Terminology and Typographical Conventions | |
|--|----|
| 1.1. Definition of terminology | 2 |
| 2. Purpose, Scope and Summary | 3 |
| 3. Compliance and Audit | 3 |
| 4. Organisational Requirement | 4 |
| 4.1 Enterprise and Service Maturity | 4 |
| 4.2 Notices and User Information | 5 |
| 4.3 Secure Communications | 6 |
| 4.4 Security-relevant Event (Audit) Records | 6 |
| 4.5 Incident Management | 7 |
| 5. Operational Requirements | 7 |
| 5.1 Credential Operating Environment | 7 |
| 5.2 Credential Issuing | 9 |
| 5.3 Credential Renewal and Re-issuing | 13 |
| 5.4 Credential Revocation | 13 |
| 5.5 Credential Status Management | 14 |
| 5.6 Credential Validation/Authentication | 14 |
| 6. Conformity, Syntax and Technical representation | 15 |

1. Terminology and Typographical Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC2119.

Text in *Italics* is non-normative. All other text is normative unless otherwise stated.

All normative parts of the profile are governed by the SWAMID Board of Trustees.

The non-normative (guidance) is maintained by the SWAMID Operations team.

Text in green shows where there is a difference between SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 3 Profile and SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 2 Profile.

SWAMID has multiple assurance level profiles. All Identity Assurance Profiles share the same numbering scheme.

1.1. Definition of terminology

Member Organisation: The SWAMID Member with which a Subject is affiliated, operating the Identity Provider by itself or through a third party.

Subject: Any natural person affiliated with a Member Organisation, e.g. as a teacher, researcher, staff or student.

Identity Provider (IdP): The system component that issues Attribute assertions on behalf of Subjects who use them to access the services of Relying Party.

Relying Party (RP): A Service that relies upon a Subject's credentials, typically to process a transaction or grant access to information or a system. Also known as Service Provider (SP).

Shared secret: A piece of information that is shared exclusively between the parties involved in a secure communication.

Credential: A combination of information, cryptographic software and/or cryptographic hardware which a Subject proves possession of in order to authenticate itself in the Member Organisation's Identity Provider. This can be for example the combination of a username and password or a username and cryptographic device.

Credential issuing: The process of issuing a Subject a set of credentials which the Subject can use to authenticate itself in the Member Organisation's Identity Provider. This also includes the process when a Member Organisation issues an additional set of credentials to the same Subject.

Credential re-issuing: The process where a Member Organisation re-issues credentials to a Subject who has previously been issued credentials, i.e. by replacing a malfunctioning cryptographic device or by giving a Subject the possibility to reset a forgotten password.

Credential renewal: The process where a Subject voluntary change his or her credentials by proving possession of the current credentials, i.e. changing a password by proving knowledge of the current password.

Credential revocation: The process where a Member Organisation invalidates a set of credentials currently issued to a Subject, i.e. because the credentials are suspected to be compromised or if he or she is no longer a current Subject of the Member Organisation.

CAPTCHA: A challenge-response test used as an attempt to ensure that the response is generated by a human being, e.g. a picture with characters that a Subject must retype in a text field.

2. Purpose, Scope and Summary

This document defines the SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 3 Profile. This profile is an extension of the SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 2 Profile.

A claim at this Identity Assurance Profile implies the following:

- the subject is an identified and confirmed natural person;
- the subject is affiliated with the Member Organisation;
- the subject can be contacted by the Member Organisation;
- the subject is identified by a unique permanent user identifier;
- the Member Organisation is responsible for the attributes/information released; and
- the authentication of the subject is a multi-factor authentication.

Relying parties in SWAMID may require elevated levels of assurance.

This Identity Assurance Profile is conditionally mappable to but not interchangeable with the REFEDS Assurance Framework version 2.0 Process-Based Identity Assurance Profile at level high and Identifier Uniqueness.

3. Compliance and Audit

3.1 Evidence of compliance with this profile MUST be part of the Identity Management Practice Statement, maintained as a part of the SWAMID membership process. The Identity Management Practice Statement MUST describe how the organisation fulfils the normative parts of this document.

3.2 SWAMID Operations, or another party approved by SWAMID Board of Trustees, conducts an audit of the submitted Identity Management Practice Statement. The audit is documented in an assessment. The audit performed includes one or more

meetings where SWAMID Operations verifies that the Member Organisation has understood the requirements in this Identity Assurance Profile.

The Member Organisation MUST annually confirm that their Identity Management Practice Statement is still accurate.

The Member Organisation MUST submit an updated Identity Management Practice Statement for renewed audit prior to making changes in the identity management process or technology that makes the Identity Management Practice Statement inaccurate.

Guidance: SWAMID Operations supplies a template for the Identity Management Practice Statement.

3.3 SWAMID Board of Trustees MAY impose an additional audit of the Member Organisation performed by SWAMID Operations, or another party approved by SWAMID Board of Trustees.

4. Organisational Requirement

The purpose of this section is to define conditions and guidance regarding participating organisations responsibilities.

4.1 Enterprise and Service Maturity

This subsection defines the organisation and the procedures that govern the operations of the identity provider.

4.1.1 The Member Organisation MUST have a Swedish Company Registration Number, e.g. be a legal entity in Sweden (sv. organisationsnummer för s.k. juridiska personer).

4.1.2 The Member Organisation MUST adhere to applicable Swedish legislation. The Member Organisation MUST conduct and maintain an analysis of applicable legislation for the Identity Provider and underlying systems.

Guidance: An example of an analysis is provided in the SWAMID Wiki that can be used as an internal template.

4.1.3 The Member Organisation MUST have documented procedures for data retention and protection in order to ensure the safe management of Subject information.

Guidance: The Member Organisation must have defined decommission procedures of the Identity Provider and underlying systems for when they are replaced or decommissioned. Special considerations should be taken for decommissioned components (e.g. hard drives, backup media and other storage media) that may contain sensitive or private Subject information, such as passwords, Swedish Personal Identity Number (sv. personnummer) etc. These components must be safely and permanently disposed of.

4.2 Notices and User Information

The Member Organisation provides an Acceptable Use Policy (AUP) and a Service Definition including a Privacy Policy (PP) for the organisation Subjects. These policies are needed to fulfil the SWAMID Policy and the Swedish legislation including the General Data Protection Regulation (EU) No 679/2016.

4.2.1 The Member Organisation MUST maintain and publish the Acceptable Use Policy to all Subjects including any and all additional terms and conditions.

4.2.2 All Subjects MUST indicate acceptance of the Acceptable Use Policy before use of the Identity Provider.

Guidance: A suggested way to fulfil this requirement is to display and accept the Acceptable Use Policy at first login in the Identity Provider.

4.2.3 All Subjects MUST either indicate renewed acceptance or be actively informed of any modifications to the Acceptable Use Policy.

Guidance: For example, a dedicated e-mail addressing the change is actively informed, while publication on the Member Organisation's web page is not.

4.2.4 The Member Organisation MUST maintain a record of Subject Acceptable Use Policy Acceptance.

4.2.5 The Member Organisation MUST maintain and publish the Identity Provider's Service Definition. The Service Definition MUST be accessible online without requiring authentication. The Service Definition MUST at least include:

- a general description of the service;
- a Privacy Policy with reference to applicable Swedish law;
- any limitations of the service usage; and
- service desk, or equivalent, contact details.

Guidance 1: SWAMIDs recommendation is to use SWAMIDs best practice policy template if none other exists.

Guidance 2: When the Service Definition, Privacy Policy, or their URLs changes, make sure to update any references according to the SWAMID Technology Profiles.

4.3 Secure Communications

This subsection defines how clear text passwords, private keys and shared secrets must be protected to obtain operational security.

4.3.1 Access to shared secrets MUST be subject to discretionary controls which permit access to those roles/applications needing such access.

Guidance: Access to shared secrets should be limited to as few individuals as possible and life cycle managed.

4.3.2 Private keys and shared secrets MUST NOT be stored in plain text form unless given adequate physical or logical protection.

Guidance: Password files and private keys on servers must not be openly accessible but should be subject to operating system access control/restrictions.

4.3.3 All network communication between systems related to Identity or Credential management MUST be secure and encrypted or be physically secured by other means.

Guidance: Always use TLS or equivalent for establishing encrypted communications between endpoints and use client certificates or account authentication between services. For example, the communication between an Identity Provider and an LDAP server and the communication between a web application for account management and the identity management backend (e.g. Active Directory) must be encrypted.

4.3.4 Relying Party and Identity Provider credentials (i.e. entity keys) MUST NOT use shorter comparable key strength (in the sense of NIST SP 800-57) than a 2048-bit RSA key

Guidance: Keys should not be used for more than 10 years and should be changed when doing a major software upgrade or a hardware replacement

4.4 Security-relevant Event (Audit) Records

This section defines the need to keep an audit trail of relevant systems.

4.4.1 The Member Organisation MUST maintain a log of all relevant security events concerning the operation of the Identity Provider and the underlying systems, together with an accurate record of the time at which the event occurred (timestamp). These records MUST be retained with appropriate protection and controls to ensure successful retrieval, accounting for service definition, risk management requirements, applicable legislation, and organisational policy.

Guidance: Audit trails are sensitive personal data and must be protected from unauthorised access. A separate log-server is recommended as best practice but not mandatory. All changes to credentials and attributes used in SWAMID must be logged.

4.5 Incident Management

4.5.1 The Member Organisation MUST follow the SWAMID Incident Management Procedure in case of a suspected security incident if

- the Identity Provider is at risk; or
- at least one user with federated logins is at risk or involved.

Guidance: The SWAMID Incident Management Procedure should be followed when a suspected security incident at a Member Organisation is expected to affect other Federation Participants. More specifically, it applies to all suspected federated security incidents unless their extent is known, contained within the Federation Participant and cannot affect any other party. In an international identity federated context, the REFEDS Security Incident Response Trust Framework for Federated Identity (Sirtfi) is used to manage security incident response.

5. Operational Requirements

The purpose of this section is to ensure safe and secure operations of the service.

5.1 Credential Operating Environment

The purpose of this subsection is to ensure adequate strength of Subject credentials, such as passwords, and protection against common attack vectors.

5.1.1 The Identity Provider MUST authenticate Subjects at the request of the Relying Party. The authentication MUST be performed using Multi-Factor Authentication.

Multi-Factor Authentication of Subjects MUST be performed using either

- a full Multi-Factor OTP Device as defined in NIST 800-63B;
 - Use of full Multi-Factor OTP Devices will no longer be compliant with this profile after 2027.
- a full Multi-Factor Cryptographic Software as defined in NIST 800-63B;
- a full Multi-Factor Cryptographic Device as defined in NIST 800-63B;
- a Single-Factor OTP Device as defined in NIST 800-63B in combination with a memorised secret (or an inherent authentication factor);
 - Use of software-based Single-Factor OTP Devices will no longer be compliant with this profile after 2025.
 - Use of hardware Single-Factor OTP Devices will no longer be compliant with this profile after 2027.
- a Single-Factor Cryptographic Software as defined in NIST 800-63B in combination with a memorised secret (or an inherent authentication factor); or
- a Single-Factor Cryptographic Device as defined in NIST 800-63B in combination with a memorised secret (or an inherent authentication factor).

The memorised secret used in combination with a Single-Factor above MUST be a password or a passphrase with

- at least 24 bits of entropy as defined in (the old) NIST SP 800-63-2, Appendix A; or
- a score of at least 3 (safely unguessable) as defined by the zxcvbn password strength definition in February 2017.

Multi-Factor Authentication MUST ensure that the user authenticating is always the same Subject. This implies the following key aspects:

- The login mechanism includes something you have combined with something you know or are;
- The login mechanism is cryptographically secure;
- The login mechanism cannot be transferred, duplicated, or synchronised across devices without the use of an additional knowledge-based or inherent authentication factor; and
- The login mechanism has built-in protection against phishing and social engineering.

All factors used to perform a combined Multi-Factor authentication MUST be independent; this includes processes to renew, re-issue, or add authentication factors.

To fulfil the authentication requirements outlined above, the Member Organisation MAY utilise an external authentication source. In such cases, the external authentication source MUST satisfy at least one of the methods 1-3 in section 5.2.5. Additionally, the Member Organisation's Identity Provider and the external authentication source MUST share a pre-registered identifier.

A Subject MAY have more than one valid set of credentials, e.g. a memorised secret and one or more Single-Factor Cryptographic Devices.

Guidance 1: Details on memorised secrets and a template password policy is available in the SWAMID Wiki.

Guidance 2: For more information regarding the zxcvbn password strength definition see

https://www.usenix.org/system/files/conference/usenixsecurity16/sec16_paper_wheel er.pdf.

Guidance 3: Single-Factor and Multi-Factor OTP Devices have similar weaknesses to social engineering as passwords, but OTP codes can only be used once and if an OTP is time-based (TOTP) the risk is further reduced but not negligible. Furthermore, some software OTP secrets may easily be copied between devices. Member Organisations should not implement new OTP solutions.

Guidance 4: Inherent authentication factors include biometric and behaviour associated with the Subject. Note that inherent authentication factors are usually considered sensitive data as defined in the General Data Protection Regulation (EU 2016/679).

Guidance 5: Syncable credentials explicitly facilitate key cloning to provide the user with access to previously enrolled credentials across devices and different platform providers. This is a user experience that can be both secure and convenient if done correctly. The use of syncable credentials must follow current NIST guidelines around syncable authenticators. Furthermore, Subjects must be actively discouraged from sharing syncable credentials with other Subjects, see 5.1.3.

Guidance 6: Independent factors means that access to one factor does not by itself grant access to other factors. For example, a FIDO security key used in combination with a password may not by itself be used to perform a reset of the password.

5.1.2 All protocols used MUST be protected against message replay.

5.1.3 Subjects MUST be actively discouraged from sharing credentials with other subjects either by using technical controls or by requiring users to confirm policy forbidding sharing of credentials or acting in a way that makes stealing credentials easy.

Guidance: A strong recommendation is that the Acceptable Use Policy or Password Policy explicitly forbids Subjects to share their credentials with other subjects or re-use their memorised secrets in other systems. Note that credentials includes both passwords and authentication devices such as mobile phones, Yubikeys and other security keys.

5.1.4 The organisation MUST take into account applicable system threats and apply appropriate controls to all relevant systems.

Guidance: Example of system threats are:

- the introduction of malicious code;
- compromised authentication arising from insider action;
- out-of-band attacks by other users and system operators;
- spoofing of system elements/applications; and
- malfeasance on the part of Subscribers and Subjects.

5.2 Credential Issuing

The purpose of this subsection is to ensure that the Identity Provider has control over the issuing process including issuing of credentials and binding of other information to the Subject. Furthermore, the Identity Provider and its Subjects must be uniquely identified.

5.2.1 Each Subject assertion MUST include a unique representation of one or more administrative domain(s) owned by the Member Organisation or which the Member Organisation has delegated usage of. The Member Organisation's administrative domain(s) MUST be described.

Guidance: Normally the DNS top level domain of the Member Organisation is used to provide scope to all scoped attributes, e.g. eduPersonPrincipalName/subject-id and eduPersonScopedAffiliation.

5.2.2 Each Identity Provider instance MUST have a globally unique identifier

Guidance: ALL SWAMID technology profiles fulfil this requirement, for example entityID in SAML and radius server DNS name in eduroam.

5.2.3 Each Subject MUST be represented by one or more globally unique identifiers.

Subject identifiers MUST NOT be re-assigned.

The Member Organisation MUST have documented procedures and controls to ensure that Subject identifiers are not re-assigned.

Guidance 1: Multiple Subject identifiers (i.e. usernames) for the same Subject can be used to represent different affiliations (for example both employee and student) at the same Member Organisation.

Guidance 2: While email addresses are convenient identifier for login purposes, their inherent lack of uniqueness over time makes them unsuitable as Subject identifiers within or across systems. Therefore, it is recommended not to use Subject identifiers resembling firstname.lastname@example.org.

5.2.4 If the Subject have more than one unique identifier within the Identity Provider the Subject MUST be able to choose which one to be used at login.

5.2.5 Identity proofing MUST be done in order to issue credentials.

Credential issuing or renewed identity proofing MUST be done using one of the following methods:

- 1. Online authenticating the Subject at SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 3 Profile, or higher, using an Identity Provider compliant with SWAMID Identity Assurance Profiles;
- Online authenticating the Subject at Swedish E-identification Level of Assurance 3, or higher, using an Identity Provider compliant with the Swedish E-identification System;
- 3. Online authenticating the Subject at eIDAS Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 amended by Regulation (EU) 2024/1183 assurance level substantial, or higher, using an Identity Provider or a European Digital Identity Wallet compliant with the eIDAS EU regulation;
- In-person visit at a service desk in combination with identity proofing with approved forms of identification documents, as defined by the Swedish police for issuance of the Swedish passport or authenticating the Subject using method 2 above;
- In-person visit at a service desk in combination with identity proofing with a non-Swedish passport fulfilling ICAO Doc 9303 or a non-Swedish EU/EES national identity card Regulation (EU) 2019/1157 or authenticating the Subject using method 3 above; or
- 6. Off-line using a postal certified mail (sv. rekommenderat brev med personlig utlämning) to a Swedish registered address (sv. folkbokföringsadress) in

combination with a time-limited one-time password/pin code with at least 24 bits of entropy or a pre-registered device.

During first-time and all subsequent credential issuing, any pre-registered identifiers used to identify the Subject MUST be identical to the identifiers provided by the identity proofing.

The Member Organisation MUST have documented processes for identity proofing during in-person identification which describe:

- how the Member Organisation minimises the risk of identity fraud;
- how traceability is ensured during the identity proofing process; and
- how identity proofing processes are known to and followed by appointed personnel.

Guidance: Example of pre-registered identifiers available by proofing method are:

| Method 1. | Swedish Personal Identity Number or |
|-----------|--|
| | eduPersonPrincipalName/subject-id |
| Method 2. | Swedish Personal Identity Number |
| Method 3. | eIDAS unique identifier or a risk assessed combination of name, date |
| | of birth and issuing country |
| Method 4. | Swedish Personal Identity Number |
| Method 5. | A risk assessed combination of name, date of birth and issuing |
| | country |
| Method 6. | Swedish Personal Identity Number |

To minimise the risk of identity fraud for Subjects with no Swedish Personal Identity Number it is necessary that the identity proofing can be linked with future identity proofing. This can be accomplished using for example a passport with the same name, date of birth and issuing country with risk assessment that it is the same Subject.

Guidance method 1, 2 and 3: Single sign-on authentication must be disabled during the credential issuing process.

Guidance method 4, 5: The use of a one-time password/pin code is recommended to minimise the risk of disclosing Subjects' credentials.

5.2.6 In the process of transitioning Assurance Level of Subjects, renewed identity proofing MUST be done using one of the methods in 5.2.5 with pre-registered identifiers to ensure that it is the same Subject.

The Member Organisation MUST maintain a record of all changes regarding Assurance Level of Subjects. These records MUST be retained with appropriate protection and controls to ensure successful retrieval, accounting for service definition, risk management requirements, applicable legislation, and organisational policy. **Guidance 1:** It is recommended to require Subjects to change all memorised secrets when transitioning to higher Assurance Levels to reduce risk and ensure exclusive knowledge of credentials.

Guidance 2: Transitioning to lower Assurance Levels without consideration about what information should be disclosed to services afterwards is not advisable. Transitioning to lower Assurance Levels undermines trust in whether it is still the same Subject that has access to data and privileges safeguarded by the Credentials. This is mandated by Swedish data protection laws, among other regulations. It is particularly critical in scenarios where, for example, exercise of public authority is conducted, or when personal data or sensitive research data are accessed. Therefore, it is necessary to carefully assess what information can still be disclosed following a reduction in assurance level. For example, releasing a Swedish Personal Identity Number to services may not be appropriate if the Subject no longer meets the requirements of the SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 2 Profile, or higher.

5.2.7 Personal identifiable information MUST be obtained from authoritative sources. The Member Organisation MUST be able to update personal identifiable information either by request by the Subject or by decision from the Member Organisation. Contact details MUST be self-asserted by the Subject or managed by the Member Organisation. The Subject MUST be able to update stored self-asserted personal information.

The Member Organisation MUST have means to contact all Subjects using either self-asserted contact details, contact details managed by the Member Organisation or contact details registered in the Swedish population registry (sv. folkbokföringsregistret).

Any organisational affiliation MUST either be obtained from organisational authoritative sources or by decision from the Member Organisation. Any affiliation MUST be updated within 31 calendar days after a recorded change.

Self-asserted contact details SHOULD be verified using a time-limited one-time password/pin code and the Subject's credentials.

Guidance 1: Personal identifiable information include name, date of birth, Swedish Personal Identity Number (sv. personnummer/samordningsnummer), European Student Identifier (ESI) and ORCID. Contact details include email address and mobile phone number.

Guidance 2: Authoritative sources for personal identifiable information typically include the Swedish Population Registry, the human resources system of the Member Organisation, the student information system of the Member Organisation, and information received during identity proofing using 5.2.5.

Guidance 3: The right to update personal information follows by the General Data Protection Regulation (EU) No 679/2016.

Guidance 4: The requirement to update organisational affiliation attributes (eduPersonAffiliation, eduPersonScopedAffiliation and eduPersonPrimaryAffiliation)

within 31 calendar days refers to the latency between the time when one of these affiliations is changed based on the Member Organisation defined processes, and the time the update is reflected in the Identity Provider.

5.2.8 To be authorised to perform credential issuing at this Identity Assurance Profile, the Registration Authority itself MUST be using credentials at this Identity Assurance Profile or higher.

Guidance: System administrators, personnel at helpdesks and other Registration Authorities must be proofed at this Identity Assurance Profile or higher.

5.3 Credential Renewal and Re-issuing

The purpose of this subsection is to ensure that Subjects can change their credential and get new credentials when lost or expired.

5.3.1 All Subjects MUST be allowed to renew their credentials.

5.3.2 Subjects MUST actively demonstrate possession of current credentials in the process of credential renewal.

Guidance: Single sign-on authentication should be disabled during the credential renewal process. Note that an active web session is not sufficient to demonstrate possession of current credentials.

5.3.3 Credential Re-issuing MUST be done using one of the methods in 5.2.5 with pre-registered identifiers to ensure that it is the same Subject.

Guidance: When a person requests removal of a second factor or a full multi-factor it is strongly recommended to either identify the person with the organisation Identity Provider and current multi-factor authentication or with one of the methods in section 5.2.5.

5.4 Credential Revocation

The purpose of this subsection is to ensure that credentials can be revoked.

5.4.1 The Member Organisation MUST be able to revoke a Subject's credentials either by request by the Subject or by decision from the Member Organisation.

The Member Organisation MUST be able to block a Subject from Credential Issuing after Credential Revocation.

The Member Organisation MUST have documented processes to revoke Subjects' credentials that no longer should be valid.

Guidance 1: Possible reasons to block a Subject from Credential Issuing after Credential Revocation can be, for example, by request of the Subject, the Subject leaving the Member Organisation or security related incidents.

Guidance 2: A strong recommendation is that the Acceptable Use Policy or Password Policy explicitly encourage Subjects to request their Credentials to be revoked when they suspect a security related incident.

5.4.2 Credential Issuing after Credential Revocation MUST be done using one of the methods in 5.2.5 with pre-registered identifiers to ensure that it is the same Subject.

Prior to Credential Issuing after Credential Revocation caused by a security related incident, the Member Organisation MUST inform the Subject of the reason behind the revocation.

5.4.3 In the event of a Credential Revocation caused by a security related incident the Member Organisation MUST take precautions to prevent the incident from reoccurring.

5.5 Credential Status Management

The purpose of this subsection is to ensure that credentials are stored accordingly and that Identity Management systems have a high degree of availability.

5.5.1 The Member Organisation MUST maintain a record of all credentials issued. This record MUST be retained with appropriate protection and controls to ensure successful retrieval, accounting for service definition, risk management requirements, applicable legislation, and organisational policy.

Guidance: Records of all changes, such as password changes and/or new/closed credentials shall be stored in accordance with Swedish legislation.

5.5.2 The Identity Provider MUST have an availability that allows the Member Organisation to use it for internal systems.

5.6 Credential Validation/Authentication

The purpose of this subsection is to ensure that the implemented Validation/Authentication processes meet proper technical standards.

5.6.1 The Identity Provider MUST provide validation of credentials to a Relying Party using a protocol that:

- 1. requires authentication of the specified service or of the validation source;
- 2. ensures the integrity of the authentication assertion;
- protects assertions against manufacture, modification and substitution, and secondary authenticators from manufacture; and which, specifically:
- 4. creates assertions which are specific to a single transaction;
- 5. where assertion references are used, generates a new reference whenever a new assertion is created;
- 6. when an assertion is provided indirectly, either signs the assertion or sends it via a protected channel, using a strong binding mechanism between the secondary authenticator and the referenced assertion; and

- 7. requires the secondary authenticator to:
 - 1. be signed when provided directly to Relying Party, or;
 - 2. have a minimum of 64 bits of entropy when provision is indirect (i.e. through the credential user).

Guidance: ALL SWAMID technology profiles fulfil this requirement when implemented as recommended by SWAMID Operations.

5.6.2 The Identity Provider MUST not authenticate credentials that have been revoked.

Guidance: Only active credentials shall be authenticated, i.e. revoked credentials may not be authenticated.

5.6.3 The Identity Provider MUST force the Subject to demonstrate possession of current credentials in the process of authentication.

5.6.4 The Identity Provider MUST force the Subject to authenticate at least once every 12 hours in order to maintain an active session.

Guidance: This means that Single Sign-On sessions must not be valid for more than 12 hours. This balances user experience against security risks.

6. Conformity, Syntax and Technical representation

Authentication at this Identity Assurance Profile MUST NOT be asserted unless the following criteria are met:

- the Member Organisation is approved at this Identity Assurance Profile, or higher, by the SWAMID Board of Trustees; and
- the Subject has been identity proofed at this Identity Assurance Profile, or higher.

A Subject fulfilling this Identity Assurance Profile also fulfils SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 1 Profile and SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 2 Profile. The Identity Provider SHOULD assert SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 1 Profile and SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 2 Profile compliance when asserting SWAMID Identity Assurance Level 3 Profile compliance for Subjects.

Syntax and Technical representation of conformity with this Identity Assurance Profile are defined in the SWAMID Technology Profiles.